


MIHAELA STĂNCIUCU

INVESTIGAȚII GEOTEHNICE IN SITU



BUCUREȘTI 2010

1.	PENETRAREA DINAMICĂ STANDARD (SPT).....	1
1.1.	GENERALITATI, ISTORIC.....	1
1.2.	PRINCIPIUL METODEI.....	2
1.3.	LIMITELE PROCEDURII.....	3
1.4.	PARAMETRI MĂSURĂȚI, CORECȚII.....	5
1.5.	INTERPRETAREA REZULTATELOR PENETRĂRII DINAMICE STANDARD.....	7
1.5.1.	Estimarea parametrilor fizico-mecanici.....	7
1.5.1.1.	Evaluarea stării fizice a nivelelor litologice interceptate.....	7
1.5.1.2.	Evaluarea modul de deformație liniară.....	8
1.5.1.3.	Evaluarea parametrilor rezistenței la forfecare.....	9
1.5.2.	Aplicații SPT în probleme ingineresti.....	12
1.5.2.1.	Estimarea capacității portante a terenurilor.....	12
1.5.2.2.	Estimarea tasărilor terenului de fundare a construcțiilor.....	14
2.	PENETRAREA DINAMICĂ PE CON (DP).....	19
2.1.	GENERALITATI, ISTORIC.....	19
2.2.	PRINCIPIUL METODEI, APARATURĂ.....	19
2.3.	PARAMETRI MĂSURĂȚI, CORECȚII.....	21
2.4.	INTERPRETAREA REZULTATELOR PENETRĂRII DINAMICE.....	23
2.4.1.	Estimarea parametrilor fizico-mecanici.....	23
2.4.2.	Aplicații DP în probleme ingineresti.....	24
3.	PENETRAREA STATICĂ PE CON (CPT)	25
3.1.	GENERALITĂȚI.....	25
3.2.	ISTORIC.....	26
3.3.	PRINCIPIUL METODEI, APARATURĂ.....	27
3.4.	PARAMETRI MĂSURĂȚI, CORECȚII.....	31
3.4.1.	Rezistență pe con (q_c)	31
3.4.2.	Rezistență pe manta f_s	33
3.4.3.	Presiunea apei din pori u	34
3.4.4.	Considerații practice.....	35
3.5.	INTERPRETAREA REZULTATELOR	37
3.5.1.	Interpretarea litologică vizuală.....	37
3.5.2.	Diagrame de interpretare.....	39
3.5.3.	Evaluarea parametrilor geomecanici.....	44
3.5.3.1.	Densitatea relativă (grad de îndesare)	44
3.5.3.2.	Greutate volumică.....	46
3.5.3.3.	Modulul de deformație edometric.....	47
3.5.3.4.	Unghiul de frecare interioară.....	48
3.5.3.5.	Rezistența la forfecare nedrenată.....	50
3.5.3.6.	Efortul de preconsolidare.	53
3.5.3.7.	Estimarea raportului de supraconsolidare.....	56
3.5.3.8.	Proprietăți de filtrare și coeficientul de consolidare orizontală.....	57
3.5.4.	Aplicații ale CPT în probleme ingineresti.....	61
3.5.4.1.	Utilizarea CPT în verificarea calității umpluturilor.....	61
3.5.4.2.	Identificarea terenurilor susceptibile la lichefiere pe baza CPT.....	63
3.5.5.	Interpretarea rezultatelor conform normativelor românești și europene... ..	69
4.	DILATOMETRU PLAT (DMT)	73
4.1.	GENERALITĂȚI, ISTORIC.....	73
4.2.	PRINCIPIUL METODEI, APARATURĂ.....	73
4.3.	PARAMETRI MĂSURĂȚI, CORECȚII.....	76
4.4.	INTERPRETAREA REZULTATELOR.....	77
4.4.1.	Parametri Marchetti (primari)	77
4.4.2.	Parametri geotehnici derivați (secundari)	78
4.4.2.1.	Diagrame de interpretare și estimare a greutateii volumice.....	78
4.4.2.2.	Raportul de supraconsolidare	80
4.4.2.3.	Coeficientul presiunilor laterale pentru starea de repaus	81
4.4.2.4.	Rezistența la forfecare nedrenată.....	81

4.4.2.5.	<i>Unghiul de frecare interioară</i>	81
4.4.2.6.	<i>Modulul de deformație.....</i>	82
4.4.2.7.	<i>Determinarea coeficientului de consolidare orizontală.....</i>	83
4.4.2.8.	<i>Determinarea coeficientului depermeabilitate.....</i>	84
4.4.3.	Aplicații ale DMT în probleme ingineresti.....	84
4.4.3.1.	<i>Calculul tasărilor sub fundații de suprafață.....</i>	84
4.4.3.2.	<i>Utilizarea rezultatelor DMT în calculul piloților</i>	86
4.4.3.3.	<i>Identificarea suprafețelor de alunecare pe baza rezultatelor DMT..</i>	87
4.4.3.4.	<i>Utilizarea DMT în verificarea calității umpluturilor</i>	89
4.4.3.5.	<i>Utilizarea DMT în identificarea susceptibilității la lichefiere</i>	89
5.	PRESIOMETRIE (PMT)	91
5.1.	GENERALITĂȚI, ISTORIC.....	91
5.2.	PRINCIPIUL METODEI, APARATURĂ.....	91
5.3.	PROCEDURA DE LUCRU, PARAMETRI MĂSURAȚI, CORECȚII.....	93
5.4.	INTERPRETAREA REZULTATELOR.....	95
6.	FORFECARE IN SITU CU PALETE (VST)	97
6.1.	GENERALITĂȚI, ISTORIC.....	97
6.2.	PRINCIPIUL METODEI, APARATURĂ.....	97
6.3.	PARAMETRI MĂSURAȚI, CORECȚII, INTERPRETAREA REZULTATELOR.....	99
7.	ÎNCERCAREA PE PLACĂ (PLT).....	102
7.1.	GENERALITĂȚI.....	102
7.2.	APARATURĂ.....	102
7.3.	PRINCIPIUL METODEI.....	104
7.3.1.	Încercarea pe placă conform standardului românesc.....	104
7.3.2.	Încercarea pe placă conform DIN 18134.....	105
7.4.	ERORI ASOCIATE METODEI.....	106
7.5.	PARAMETRI MĂSURAȚI.....	109
7.5.1.	Determinarea modului de deformație liniară conform standardului românesc.....	109
7.5.2.	Determinarea modului de deformație liniară conform DIN 18134.....	110
7.5.3.	Determinarea coeficientului de pat.....	113
Bibliografie		116

Bibliografie

1. Adam, Ch., Adam, D., Kopf, F., Paulmichl, I., (2009) Computational validation of static and dynamic plate load testing. *Acta Geotechnica* 4: pp. 35–55.
2. Arion, C., Calarașu, E., Neagu, C., Tamura, M., (2007). Geotechnical In Situ Investigation Used for Seismic Design of Buildings. International Symposium on Seismic Risk Reduction. JICA Project. pp107-120.
3. Boulanger, R.W., Idriss, I.M., (2004). State Normalization of Penetration Resistance and the Effect of Overburden Stress on Liquefaction Resistance. Proceedings 11th SDEE and 3rd ICEGE, Berkeley, CA, pp.484-491.
4. Boulanger, R.W., Idriss, I.M., (2005). Evaluating Cyclic Failure in Silts and Clays. Proceedings, Recent Developments in Earthquake Geotechnical Engineering, Committee of International Society of Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, Osaka.
5. Briaud J.-L., 2001, "Introduction to Soil Moduli", Geotechnical News, BiTech Publishers Ltd, Richmond, B.C., Canada, geotechnicalnews@bitech.ca
6. Broere, W., van Tol, A.F., (2001). Horizontal cone penetration testing in sand. Proceedings of the XVth International Conference of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering. Istanbul, pp.555-558
7. Campanella, R.G., Wickremesinghe, D.S., (1989). Statistical evaluation of in situ test data. Soil Mechanics Series no.129.The University of British Columbia, Vancouver Canada.
8. Campanella, R.G., et.al.,(1998). Interpretation and use of piezocone test data for geotechnical design. The University of British Columbia. Vancouver, Canada.
9. Carraro, J.A.H., et.al., (2003). Liquefaction Resistance of Clean and Nonplastic Silty Sands Based on Cone Penetration Resistance. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, ASCE, vol 129. no. 11, pp.965-976.
10. Cubrinovski, M., Ishihara, K., (2001). Correlation between penetration resistance and relative density of sandy soils. Proceedings of the Fifteenth International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, Istanbul, Turkey, pp. 393-396.
11. Fuka, M., Marschalko, M., Křístková, V., Kovář, L. (2009). Research of Application of Dynamic Penetration Test For Improvement of Engineering Geological Investigation Possibilities In Ostrava Basin. *GeoScience Engineering Volume LV* , No.3.<http://gse.vsb.cz> pp. 1-11
12. Gui, M.W., Bolton, M.D. et.al., (1998). Guidelines for cone penetration tests in sands. *Centrifuge 98*. Kimura, Kusakabe&Takemura, pp.155-160.
13. Houlby, G.T., Teh, C.I. (1988). Analysis of piezocone in clay. *Penetration Testing*. ISOPT-1, De Ruiter. pp.777-783.
14. Houlby, G.T., (1998). Advanced interpretation of field tests. *Geotechnical Site Characterization*. <http://www-civil.eng.ox.ac.uk/people/gth/c/c46.pdf>
15. Houlby, G.T., (1990). Analysis of Cone Penetrometer and Pressuremeter Tests. 2nd European Specialty Conference on Numerical Methods in Geotechnical Engineering, Santander, pp.429-440.
16. Jefferies, M.G., Davies, M.P., (1993). Use of CPTu to Estimate Equivalent SPT N_{60} . *Geotechnical Testing Journal*. Vol.16.no.4, pp.458-468.
17. Koumoto, T., Houlby, G.T., Theory and practice on the fall cone test. *Géotechnique* 51. no.8, pp.701-712.
18. Kulhawy, F.H. and Mayne, P.W. (1990). Manual on Estimating Soil Properties for Foundation Design. Report EPRI-EL 6800, Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, 306 p.
19. Kulhawy, F.H. and Mayne, P.W. (1991). Relative density, SPT, and CPT interrelationships. Calibration Chamber Testing. (Proceedings, ISOCCT, Potsdam), Elsevier, New York, 197-211.
20. Lee, D.H., Chen, J.W., Juang, C.H., Ku, C.S., (2002). A Study of Liquefaction Potential for a New Reclaimed Land in Taiwan. Proceedings of the Twelfth International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference, Kitakyushu, Japan, pp.524-258.

21. Lee, J., Salgado, R., Paik, K., (2003). Estimation of Load Capacity of Pipe Piles in Sand Based on Cone Penetration Test Results. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*. Vol.129, no.6, pp. 391-403
22. Lee, J., Salgado, R. (2005). Estimation of Bearing Capacity of Circular Footings on Sands Based on Cone Penetration Test. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*. Vol. 131, no 4. ASCE. pp.442-452.
23. Lenz, J.A., Baise, L.G., (2007). Spatial variability of liquefaction potential in regional mapping using CPT and SPT data. *Soil Dynamica and Earthquake Engineering*, 27, pp 690-702.
24. Lune, T., Robertson, P.K., Powell, J.J.M., (1997), *Cone Penetration Testing in Geotechnical Practice*, Spon Press, Cornwall.
25. Mahmoud, M., Woeller, D., Robertson, P. K. (2000). Detection of shear zones in a natural clay slope using the cone penetration test and continuous dynamic sampling. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal* no. 37. pp. 652–661.
26. Marchetti, S., (1980). In Situ Tests by Flat Dilatometer. *Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division*. pp 299-321.
27. Marchetti S., Monaco P., Totani G., Calabrese M. (2001). The Flat Dilatometer Test (DMT) in soil investigations. A Report by the ISSMGE Committee TC16. *Intl. Conf. On In situ Measurement of Soil Properties*, Bali, Indonesia.
28. Marchetti, S., et al., (2008). In Situ Tests by Seismic Dilatometer (SDMT) ASCE Geotechnical Special Publication honoring Dr. John H. Schmertmann. From research to practice in geotechnical engineering gsp No. 170, 2008, Geo-Institute Meeting in New Orleans March 9 to 12,.
29. Maugeri, M., Monaco, P., Liquefaction Potential Evaluation by SDMT. (2006). *Proceedings from The Second International Flat Dilatometer Conference*. <http://www.marchetti-dmt.it/pdf/files/>
30. May, R.E., (1987). A Study of the Piezocone Penetrometer in Normally Consolidated Clay. Ph.D Thesis. Exeter College. University of Oxford.
31. Mayne, P. W. Auxt, J. A., Mitchell, J.K., Yilmaz, R., (1995) U.S. National Report on CPT. *Proceedings, International Symposium on Cone Penetration Testing, Vol. 1 (CPT.95)*, Swedish Geotechnical Society. Report 3:95, Linköping, 263-276.
32. Mayne, P.W. (1999). Site characterization aspects of Piedmont residual soils in eastern US. *Proceedings, 14th International Conference on Soil Mechanics & Foundation Engineering*, vol.4, Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 2191-2195.
33. Mayne, P.W., Barry, R. Ch., De Jong, J. (2001). *Manual of Subsurface Investigations*. National Highway Institute, Washington DC.
34. Mayne, P. W. (2001). Stress-strain-strength-flow parameters from enhanced in-situ tests. *Proceedings, International Conference on In-Situ Measurement of Soil Properties & Case Histories*, Bali, Indonesia, May 21-24, 2001, pp. 27-48.
35. Mayne, P.W. (2007). *Cone Penetration Testing State-of-Practice*. Transportation Research Board. Synthesis Study. NCHRP Project 20-05. Topic 37-14.
36. Mihnea, G., Stănciuc, M., (2008) *Numerical Solution In Geotechnical Practice*. GEO 2008
37. Młynarek, Z., Wierzbicki, J., Wołyński, W. (2005). Use of Cluster Method For in Situ Tests. *Studia Geotechnica et Mechanica*, Vol. XXVII, No. 3–4, pp.15-27.
38. Moayed, R.Z., Naeini, S.A., (2006). Evaluation of modulus of subgrade reaction (Ks) in gravelly soils based on SPT results. IAEG2006 Paper number 505. http://www.iaeg.info/iaeg2006/PAPERS/IAEG_505.PDF
39. Monaco, P., Marchetti, S., et al. (2005) Sand liquefiability assessment by Flat Dilatometer Test (DMT) <http://www.marchetti-dmt.it/pdf/files/>
40. Monaco P., Totani G. & Calabrese M., (2006). DMT-Predicted vs observed settlements: a review of the available experience. *Proceedings From The Second International Flat Dilatometer Conference*. pp.244-252. <http://www.marchetti-dmt.it/pdf/files/>

41. Monaco, P., Marchetti, S., (2007). Evaluating Liquefaction Potential by Seismic Dilatometer (SDMT) Accounting for Aging/Stress History. Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Earthquake Geotechnical Engineering. Thessaloniki, paper no.1626. <http://www.marchetti-dmt.it/pdf/files>
42. Murad, Y.A-F., (2004). Evaluation of consolidation characteristics of cohesive soils from piezocone penetration tests. Report FHWA/LA.03/386. Louisiana Transportation Research Center.
43. Murad, Y.A-F, Titi, H.H. (2004). Assessment of Direct Cone Penetration Test Methods for Predicting the Ultimate Capacity of Friction Driven Piles. Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering. Vol.130, no.9, pp.935-944.
44. Nemoto, H. et.al., (2008). Rapid Plate Load Tests On Bearing Stratum Of A Building Foundation. Proceedings of the Second BGA International Conference on Foundations, ICOF2008. Pp.1797-1808.
45. Pantelidis, L.,(2008). Determining of the Soil Strength Characteristics Through the Plate Bearing Test. Foundation of Civil and Environmental Engineering, no.11,pp. 55-65.
46. Paderno, C., (2009). Simulation of ballast behaviour under traffic and tamping process. 9th Swiss Transport Research Conference. Monte Verita, Ascona.
47. Petersen, L.,et.al., 2002). Comparison of Quasi-Static Plate Load Tests with the Humboldt GeoGauge. http://www.mainassoc.com/GeoGauge_files/CNA1.pdf.
48. Rogers, J.D., (2006) Subsurface Exploration Using the Standard Penetration Test and the Cone Penetrometer Test. Environmental & Engineering Geoscience, vol. XII, no.2, May 2006, pp.161-179.
49. Robertson, P.K., Campanella, R.G., Wightman, A., (1983). SPT-CPT Correlations. Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, Vol. 109, no.11, November 1983, pp.1449-1459.
50. Robertson, P.K., Campanella, R.G. (1983). Interpretation of Cone Penetration Tests. Part I (Sand) and Part II (Clay). Canadian Geotechnical Journal. Vol. 20, no.4, November 1983.
51. Robertson, P.K., (1990). Soil classification using the cone penetration test. Canadian Geotechnical Journal no. 27. pp. 151-158.
52. Sabatini, P.J., Bachus, R.C., Mayne, P.J., Scheneider, J.A., Zettler. (2002). Geotechnical Engineering Circular no.5. Evaluation of Soil and Rock Properties. Report no. FHWA-IF-02-034. US Dept. of Transportation.
53. Sadrekarimi, J., Akbarzad, M., Comparative Study of Methods of Determination of Coefficient of Subgrade Reaction. Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering. <http://www.ejge.com/>
54. Salgado, R., Mitchell, J.K., Jamiolkowski, M., (1997). Cavity Expansion and Penetration Resistance in Sand. Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, pp.344-354.
55. Salgado, R., Mitchell, J.K., Jamiolkowski, M., (1998) Calibration Chamber Size Effects on Penetration Resistance in Sand. Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering. Vol.124, no. 9, pp.878-888.
56. Salgado R., Yoon S. (2003). Dynamic Cone Penetration Test (DCPT) for Subgrade Assessment. <http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/jtrp/73>
57. Sett, K., Jeremic, B., (2009). Forward and Backward Probabilistic Simulations in Geotechnical Engineering. GeoOrlando, In Situ Testing, Analysis and Reliability of Foundations. ASCE., pp.332-339.
58. Spagnoli, G., (2006). An Empirical Correlation Between Different Dynamic Penetrometers, Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering. http://www.ejge.com/2007/Ppr0729/Ppr0729_Dec09.pdf
59. Stanciu, A., Lungu, I. (2006). Fundații. Fizica și mecanica pământurilor. Ed. Tehnică, București.
60. Teh, C.I., (1987). An analytical study of the cone penetration test. Ph.D. Thesis, Hertford College, University of Oxford.
61. Teh, C.I., Houlsby, G.T., (1988). Analysis of the cone penetration test by the strain path method. Numerical Methods in Geomechanics. Innsbruck, Swoboda.

62. Teh, C.I., Houlsby, G.T., (1991). An analytical study of the cone penetration test in clay. *Géotechnique*, 41, no.1, pp.17-34.
63. Teodoru, I.B., Toma, I.O., (2009). Numerical Analyses of Plate Loading Test. *Buletinul Institutului Politehnic din Iași*. Tom LV(LIX), Fasc.1. pp.57-65.
64. Thom, N.H., Fleming, P.R.(2002). Experimental and Theoretical Comparison of Dynamic Plate Testing Methods. 6th International Conference Bearing Capacity Of Roads, Railways And Airfields.Lisbon, pp. 731-740.
65. Totani, G., Marchetti, S., Monaco P., Calabrese M. (2001). Use of the Flat Dilatometer Test (DMT) in geotechnical design. IN *SITU* Intl. Conf. On In situ Measurement of Soil Properties, Bali, Indonesia, May 2001
66. Zekkos,D.P., Bray, J.D., Kiureghian, der A. (2004). Reliability of shallow foundation design using the standard penetration test. *Proceedings ISC-2 on Geotechnical and Geophysical Site Characterization*, Viana da Fonseca & Mayne. pp.1575-1582.
67. EPRI EL 6800- Project 1493-6. Final Report 1990. Manual on Estimating Soil properties for Foundation Design.
68. EUROCOD 7. Geotechnical Design-Part 2. Ground investigation and testing.
69. EUROCOD 8. Design of structures for earthquake resistance. Part 5. Foundations, retaining structures and geotechnical aspects.
70. Geotechnical Soil Investigation- Course- Geodelft, Delft, The Netherlands., 2006
71. NCHRP, Synthesis 368. Cone Penetration Testing. A Synthesis of Highway Practice.2007
72. Soil and Rock Classification Manual. Oregon Department of Transportation. 1987.
73. Simplified Description of the Use and Design Methods for CPTs in Ground Engineering.. Fugro Engineering Services Ltd.2004.
74. STAS 8942/3-90- Determinarea modulului de deformație liniară prin Încercări pe teren cu placa.
75. DIN 18134-2001- Determining the deformation and strenght charactersitics of soil by plate load test.
76. <http://www-civil.eng.ox.ac.uk/people/gth/c/c46.pdf>
77. <http://www.docstoc.com/docs/3827704/APPENDIX-B-SETTLEMENT-PREDICTIONS-SETTLEMENT-PREDICTIONS-Schmertmann-strain-influence-methodology>
78. <http://www.marchetti-dmt.it/>
79. <http://web.mst.edu/~rogersda/umrcourses/ge441/>
80. <http://www.scribd.com/doc/6086605/SI-Book-Chapter-9>